

Design method

1

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method


- Dutch design method has been adapted to the harmonised European Standards for asphalt
- these allow the fundamental approach (specification of stiffness, fatigue resistance, resistance to permanent deformation, water resistance) for asphalt concrete; the Netherlands has chosen this option
- the design parameters obtained from the type testing according to the European standards (stiffness, fatigue resistance) are translated to characteristic (85% reliable) values
- these are used in combination with partial factors of safety according to NEN-EN 1990 Eurocode 0 to incorporate design reliability

2

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- Stiffness and fatigue testing




3

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- asphalt stiffness




4

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- asphalt strains and stiffness → fatigue life N_f




5

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- Triaxial testing



6

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- Water resistance

7 Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- Use of functional properties

	Functional properties							
	E&C - contracts				D&C contracts			
	ITSR	f _{cm} max	S _{min}	ε ₆	ITSR	f _{cm} max	S _{min}	ε ₆
PA and SMA	empirical				empirical			
AC wearing courses	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
AC binder courses	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
AC base courses	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

T = fixed value, dependent on traffic and application
O = no fixed value, actual value is used in the design

8 Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- Use of functional properties

Property layer	Class (traffic related)	HR _{min}	HR _{max}	water-resistance	stiffness min*	Stiffness max	Creep resistance	Fatigue resistance*
Base layer	OL-A	V _{min} 2,0	V _{max} 7	ITSR70	4500	S _{max} 11000	f _{cm} max1,4	ε ₆ -100
	OL-B				5500	S _{max} 14000	f _{cm} max0,8	ε ₆ -80
	OL-C				7000		f _{cm} max0,4	ε ₆ -90
	OL-IB				7000		f _{cm} max0,2	ε ₆ -90

9 Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- horizontal asphalt strains in bottom of different asphalt layers
-> fatigue failure of asphalt layers
- asphalt strains (highly dependent on asphalt stiffness) are compared to fatigue resistance of asphalt

10 Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

11 Rijkswaterstaat


Design method

- tensile stresses in semi-bound road bases (slag bound bases or self-cementing bases)
-> disintegration of road base
- tensile stresses usually tested against standard max. tensile stress value of 130 kPa

12 Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- tensile stresses in bottom of cement bound road bases at extreme loading
-> instantaneous failure of road base
- tensile stress under high wheel loading is compared to characteristic tensile strength of road base material




13

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- repeated tensile stresses in bottom of bound road bases
-> fatigue failure of road base
- tensile stresses are compared to characteristic fatigue strength of road base material
- however this fatigue life is extremely hard to determine for conventional cement bound materials




14

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- compressive stress at top of cement bound road base
-> crushing of road base
- compressive stresses are compared to characteristic compressive strength of base material




15

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- compressive strains at top of subgrade
-> permanent deformation of subgrade
- compressive strains are compared to characteristic deformation resistance of subgrade




16

Rijkswaterstaat

Design method

- subgrade strain -> subgrade deformation resistance
- this resistance is defined as the number of strain repetitions until deformation reaches intervention level
- is derived from classical SPDM relation, which proved (in Lin-track ALT testing) applicable for standard Dutch subgrade sand
- not to be used for any material!



17

Rijkswaterstaat