



High Modulus Asphalt (EME)

Warm mixes

Wearing Course - Thin and Very Thin Layer



EME - High Modulus Asphalt



- **Pavement structure**
- **Mechanical Performances of EME**
- **Mix design of EME**
- **Effect on Pavement design**
- **Production / laying**
- **Recent development in Europe**
- **Conclusion**

Pavement structure



■ Pavement layer functional dissociation

**BBTM ,BBM, BBSG,
BBDr, BBME**



Wearing Course
Binder course
Base course
Sub base
Subgrade

Surface layers

Waterproofing
Surface Characteristics
Structural characteristics →
protection of the support
(Thermal and Mechanical –
stress distribution)

Skid resistance
Noise
Color
Waterproofing

GB
EME



- Roads bear traffic
- Materials bear stress





Figure 2.1. Schématisation des sollicitations induites par le trafic

- Pavement design
 - Calculation of stresses and strains in layers
 - mechanical characteristics of asphalt mixes (including modulus)
- Mix design to make Asphalt that can bear traffic

History - Evolution of Base course mixes



	GB	EME 2	EME 1
1970	80/100		
1976	Very hot summer		
1978	60/70 or 35/50	10/20	
1990	35/50		20/30
2000	35/50 or 20/30	10/20 or 20/30 or 25/35	



Evolution of Base course mixes



- **Performance improvements**
 - Stiffer
 - Improvement of fatigue behaviour
 - Higher rutting resistance
- **Technical Economic optimisation**
 - Thinner thickness
 - Better response to the increase of traffic agressivity
- **Savings in raw materials, maintenance and related traffic disruption**

Base course Asphalt Mixes



- According to NF EN 13108-1 EB 14 Assise 35/50 (GB) and EB 14 Assise 10/20 (EME)

Type	Max Aggr size	Binder	Binder Content (%)	Void content %	Thickness (cm)
GB	14 et 20	35/50 20/30	4 to 5	6 to 8	8 to 16
EME	10-14-20	10/20	4.5 to 6.2	3 to 6	6 to 15

Base course mixes - Main properties



- According to NF EN 13108-1 EB 14 Assise 35/50 (GB) and EB 14 Assise 10/20 (EME)

Type of mix	Giratory. (Voids %) C80 (D 10mm) C100 (D 14mm) C120 (D 20 mm)	Water sensitivity r/R ratio	Rut depth (60°C-100 mm) * 10.000 cycles (%) ** 30.000 cycles (%)	Stiffness modulus (15°C-10Hz) in MPa	Fatigue – admissible strain (@ 1 million de cycles)
G.B Class 2	≤ 11	≥ 0.65	≤ 10*	≥ 9,000	≥ 80.10 ⁻⁶
GB Class 3	≤ 10	≥ 0.7	≤ 10*	≥ 9,000	≥ 90.10 ⁻⁶
GB Class 4	≤ 9	≥ 0.7	≤ 10**	≥ 11,000	≥ 100.10 ⁻⁶
EME Class 1	≤ 10	≥ 0.7	≤ 7.5**	≥ 14,000	≥ 100.10 ⁻⁶
EME class 2	≤ 6	≥ 0.75	≤ 7.5**	≥ 14,000	≥ 130.10 ⁻⁶

Mix design of EME



Workability
Water resistance

Level 1

Level 2

Level 3

Level 4

Rutting resistance

Modulus

Fatigue resistance

Level 3 + Level 4

Fundamental Approach
In EN 13108-1

Level 1 + Level 2

General requirement



>14000 MPa
15° C -10Hz

>130 µdefs (10° C 25Hz)

Mix design of EME



■ Mix design :

- Low void content (Laboratory study 3 to 6 %)
- Use of hard binder (rutting + Modulus) 10/20 15/25 20/30
- Grading curve (D max 20 mm)
- High Binder content ~ 5,7% (fatigue)

■ Combination :


- High resistance to rutting
- High modulus 14000 MPa [15°C - 10Hz]
- High resistance to fatigue 130 μ defs [10°C 25Hz]



Results on Pavement design

Guide SETRA/LCPC1998) Pavement structure for new roads

National Road Network TC6 / PF3 30 years		
Fiche	N° 1 GB2	N° 3 EME 2
BBTM	2.5	2.5
BBSG binder	6.0	6.0
Base	14.0	9.0
Foundation	14.0	10.0
Total thickness	36.5	27.5



-25%


Traffic : 20 Millions equivalent standard axle 130 kN,
Support E = 120MPa



Results on Pavement design

Pavement design guide SCETAUROUTE
for Motorways

Motorway T0+ / PF3		
Comparison	GB3	EME 2
BBTM	2.5	2.5
BBME	5.0	-
Base	11.0	10.0
Foundation	13.0	10.0
Total thickness	31.5	22.5



-28%

Traffic : 20 millions equivalent standard axle 130 kN,
Support $E = 120\text{MPa}$

Production - Laying



- **Hard binder (Higher viscosity)**
 - Mixing temperature 160-180 always $< 190^{\circ}\text{C}$
 - Minimal temperature for laying 145°C
- **Production control**
 - Aggregates (grading curve)
 - Binder class and content
- **Compaction**
 - Quality of the sub base
 - Reach good in-place density
 - Warranty of Mechanical performances
- **Laying**
 - Bearing capacity of the sub base
 - Respect of thicknesses (Pavement Service Life)
 - Bonding between layers (tack coat)



Recent Development in Mauritius



- **EME 0/14**
 - Hard binder 20/30 (south africa)
 - Highway A13 10 cm on 6,9 km
 - Triolet 7cm on 5,6 km
- **25000 T of asphalt mix**
 - Improvement of performances allows significant thickness reduction without decrease of lifetime and also reduction of GHG



Conclusion

- **EME : Now ~ 20 years of experience**
- **Main steps for development are the followings :**
 - Knowledge of existing Base course
 - « Fundamental approach » Modulus Fatigue  Benefit of EME
 - Available ressources :
 - Hard binder
 - Aggregates (grading)
 - Eventually additives if no hard binder
- **Specific context : for example resistance to low temperature (ex Poland)**

 - Selection of component for EME mix design
 - Evaluation of Mechanical performances (Modulus + Fatigue)